

Summary:

The purpose of the Superior National Forest (SNF) study was to improve our understanding of the relationship between remotely sensed observations and important biophysical parameters in the boreal forest. A key element of the experiment was the development of methodologies to measure forest stand characteristics to determine values of importance to both remote sensing and ecology. Parameters studied were biomass, leaf area index, above ground net primary productivity, bark area index and ground coverage by vegetation. Thirty-two quaking aspen and thirty-one black spruce sites were studied.

For the aspen sites, in each plot a visual estimation of the percent coverage of the canopy, subcanopy, and understory vegetation was made. The site averages of these coverage estimates are presented in this data set.

Table of Contents:

1. [Data Set Overview](#)
2. [Investigator\(s\)](#)
3. [Theory of Measurements](#)
4. [Equipment](#)
5. [Data Acquisition Methods](#)
6. [Observations](#)
7. [Data Description](#)
8. [Data Organization](#)
9. [Data Manipulations](#)
10. [Errors](#)
11. [Notes](#)
12. [Application of the Data Set](#)
13. [Future Modifications and Plans](#)
14. [Software](#)
15. [Data Access](#)
16. [Output Products and Availability](#)
17. [References](#)
18. [Glossary of Terms](#)
19. [List of Acronyms](#)
20. [Document Information](#)

1. Data Set Overview:

Data Set Identification:

Aspen Forest Cover by Stratum/Plot (SNF).

Data Set Introduction:

This data set provides the site averages of vegetation coverage estimates of the canopy, subcanopy, and understory vegetation in the aspen sites of the Superior National Forest.

Objective/Purpose:

The purpose of the Superior National Forest (SNF) study was to improve our understanding of the relationship between remotely sensed observations and important biophysical parameters in the boreal forest. A key element of the experiment was the development of methodologies to measure forest stand characteristics to determine values of importance to both remote sensing and ecology. Parameters studied were biomass, leaf area index, above ground net primary productivity, bark area index and ground coverage by vegetation. Thirty-two quaking aspen and thirty-one black spruce sites were studied.

Summary of Parameters:

Canopy, subcanopy, and understory vegetation phenology; percent ground coverage.

Discussion:

Sites were chosen in uniform stands of aspen or spruce. The dominant species in the site constituted over 80 percent, and usually over 95 percent, of the total tree density and basal area. Aspen stands were chosen to represent the full range of age and stem density of essentially pure aspen, of nearly complete canopy closure, and greater than two meters in height. Spruce stands ranged from very sparse stands on bog sites, to dense, closed stands on more productive peatlands.

In each stand a uniform site 60 meters in diameter was laid out. Within this site, five circular plots, 16 meters in diameter, were positioned. One plot was at the center of the site and four were tangent to the center plot, one each in the cardinal directions. In very dense stands, plot radii were decreased so that stem count for the five plots remained around 200 stems. Use of multiple plots within each site allowed estimation of the importance of spatial variation in stand parameters.

Within each plot, all woody stems greater than two meters in height were recorded by species and relevant dimensions were measured. Diameter breast height (dbh) was measured directly. Height of the tree and height of the first live branch were determined by triangulation. The difference between these two heights was used as the depth of crown. The distances between trees and observer were such that no angle exceeded 65 degrees. Most plots were level, small slopes were ignored in calculating heights. Similar measurements were made for shrubs between one and two meters tall in the aspen sites. The [Forest Canopy Composition \(SNF\)](#) data set provides the counts of canopy (over two meters tall) tree species and subcanopy (between one and two meters tall) tree species.

For each plot, a two-meter diameter subplot was defined at the center of each plot. Within this subplot, the percent of ground coverage by plants under one meter in height was determined by species. These data, averaged for the five plots in each site, are presented in the [SNF Forest Understory Cover Data \(Table\)](#) data set in tabular form (e.g., plant species with a count for that species at each site). The same data are presented in the [SNF Forest Understory Cover Data](#) data set but are arranged with a row for each species and site and a percent ground coverage for each combination.

In addition, the canopy, subcanopy, and understory counts data sets have been combined into the [SNF Forest Cover by Species/Strata](#) data set.

For the aspen sites, in each plot a visual estimation of the percent coverage of the canopy, subcanopy and understory vegetation was made. The site averages of these coverage estimates are presented in this data set (i.e., Aspen Forest Cover by Stratum/Plot (SNF)).

Related Data Sets:

- [Forest Canopy Composition \(SNF\)](#)
- [SNF Forest Understory Cover Data \(Table\)](#)
- [SNF Forest Understory Cover Data](#)
- [SNF Forest Cover by Species/Strata](#)

2. Investigator(s):

Investigator(s) Name and Title:

Dr. Forrest G. Hall
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

Dr. K. Fred Huemmrich
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

Dr. Donald E. Strebel
Versar, Inc.

Dr. Scott J. Goetz
University of Maryland

Ms. Jaime E. Nickeson
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

Dr. Kerry D. Woods
Bennington College

Dr. Celeste Jarvis
NASA Headquarters

Title of Investigation:

Biophysical, Morphological, Canopy Optical Property, and Productivity Data on the Superior National Forest

Contact Information:

Dr. Forrest G. Hall
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center
Fax: +1 (301) 614-6659
Telephone: +1 (301) 614-6695
E-mail: fghall@ltpmail.gsfc.nasa.gov

3. Theory of Measurements:

Information not available.

4. Equipment:

Sensor/Instrument Description:

Collection Environment:

Ground-based.

Source/Platform:

Field Investigation.

Source/Platform Mission Objectives:

Not available.

Key Variables:

Vegetation Cover.

Principles of Operation:

Information not available.

Sensor/Instrument Measurement Geometry:

Not applicable.

Manufacturer of Sensor/Instrument:

Information not available.

Calibration:

Not applicable.

5. Data Acquisition Methods:

Information not available.

6. Observations:

Data/Field Notes:

Not available.

7. Data Description:

Spatial Characteristics:

Information not available.

Temporal Characteristics:

April 1969 to September 1973. Preview data set to check for data gaps, missing values, etc.

Data Characteristics:

	Variable Name/ Long Name	Description	SAS Type	Generic Type
1	site_id	SITE_ID	8	NUMBER(4,0)
		"Site ID"		
2	avg_cvr	AVG_COVER	8	NUMBER(4,1)
		"Average percent Cover"		
3	std_cvr	SD_COVER	8	NUMBER(4,1)
		"Standard deviation of percent cover"		
4	can_layr	CAN_LEVEL	\$ 12	CHAR(12)
		"Canopy layer"		

Sample Data Record:

site_id	avg_cvr	std_cvr	can_layr
3	56.7	25.2	"Canopy"
3	66.7	15.3	"Subcanopy"
3	65.0	39.7	"Understory"
3	3.3	2.9	"Dead Canopy"
16	58.0	8.4	"Canopy"

16	60.0	23.5	"Subcanopy"
16	38.0	11.0	"Understory"
16	4.0	2.2	"Dead Canopy"
20	62.0	11.0	"Canopy"
20	36.0	21.9	"Subcanopy"
20	64.0	16.7	"Understory"
20	5.0	0.0	"Dead Canopy"
21	44.0	11.4	"Canopy"
21	44.0	20.7	"Subcanopy"
21	70.0	15.8	"Understory"
21	2.0	2.7	"Dead Canopy"

Footnote:

For presentation in this document, some padding blanks may have been eliminated between columns in the Sample Data Record. See the [Data Format Section](#) for conventions used for missing data values in the data file.

8. Data Organization:

Data are sorted by study site (site_id) and canopy layer (can_layr). Key fields in each record are site_id and can_layr.

Data Granularity:

This data set consists of a single ASCII file containing average percent cover and standard deviation of percent cover of all species combined for each canopy layer.

A general description of data granularity as it applies to the IMS appears in the [EOSDIS Glossary](#).

Data Format:

The data files associated with this data set consist of numeric and character fields of varying lengths aligned in columns.

The first row of each data file contains the 8 character SAS variable name that links to the data format definition file.

Character fields are enclosed in double quotes and numeric fields are listed without quotes.

Missing data values can be of two varieties:

1. Values that were identified as missing in the original data files. Missing numeric values of this type are identified in these data as -999.
2. Those holes that were created as a result of combining files that contained a slightly different variable set. Missing values of this type are identified in these data files as empty double quotes for character fields and a single period, '.' for numeric fields.

9. Data Manipulations:

Not available at this revision.

10. Errors:

Sources of Error:

Not available.

Quality Assessment:

Data Validation by Source:

Not available.

Confidence Level/Accuracy Judgment:

Not available.

Measurement Error for Parameters:

Not available.

Additional Quality Assessments:

Not available.

Data Verification by Data Center:

The Superior National Forest data were received from the Goddard Space Flight Center in three media:

- As data dumps from the original Oracle SNF database maintained by GSFC, transferred electronically from the GSFC system to the ORNL system;
- As ASCII files that mirrored the tables published in the Tech Memo; and
- As hard copy (Tech Memo).

Data from both electronic sources were input into SAS by ORNL DAAC data management staff and compared using computer code developed to process the SNF data. In many cases, the data values from both sources were found to be identical. In some cases, however, differences were identified and the providers of the data were consulted to resolve inconsistencies.

Additionally, some variable columns were available in one source, but not the other for various reasons. For example, some calculated variables/columns were provided in the ASCII files (reflecting the Tech Memo tables) that were not stored in the Oracle database for purposes of space conservation.

For similar reasons, coded values were used for many of the site and species identifier variables. A separate reference table was provided to link the coded variable with its definition (e.g., the SPECIES_REF file and the SITE_REF file).

The database produced by the ORNL DAAC is a hybrid product that is a composite of data and information extracted from all three source media. In data sets where coded variables were included, the code definition variables have been added to improve usability of the data set as a stand-alone product.

Therefore the ASCII files that are available through the ORNL DAAC on-line search and order systems are output from a data set that is a product of the essential core of numeric data provided by the data source (GSFC), augmented with additional descriptive information provided by GSFC and reorganized by the ORNL DAAC into a data structure consistent with other similar data sets maintained by the ORNL DAAC.

11. Notes:

Information not available.

12. Application of the Data Set:

Information not available.

13. Future Modifications and Plans:

None known at this revision.

14. Software:

Not available.

15. Data Access:

Contact Information:

ORNL DAAC User Services
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Telephone: (865) 241-3952
Fax: (865) 574-4665
E-mail: ornldaac@ornl.gov

Data Center Identification:

ORNL Distributed Active Archive Center
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Telephone: (865) 241-3952
Fax: (865) 574-4665
E-mail: ornldaac@ornl.gov

Procedures for Obtaining Data:

Users may order data by telephone, electronic mail, or fax. Data are available via FTP or on CD-ROM. Data are also available via the World Wide Web at <http://daac.ornl.gov>.

Data Center Status/Plans:

The Superior National Forest Data are available from the ORNL DAAC. Please contact the ORNL DAAC User Services Office for the most current information about these data.

16. Output Products and Availability:

Available via FTP or on CD-ROM.

17. References:

Not available.

Archive/DBMS Usage Documentation.

Contact the ORNL DAAC, Oak Ridge, Tennessee (see the [Data Center Identification Section](#)).

18. Glossary of Terms:

A general glossary is located at [EOSDIS Glossary](#).

19. List of Acronyms:

URL Uniform Resource Locator

A general list of acronyms is available at <http://cdiac.ornl.gov/pns/acronyms.html>.

20. Document Information:

October 10, 1996 (citation revised September 23, 2002)

Document Review Date:

November 5, 1996.

Document ID:

ORNL-SNF_ASP_CVR.

Citation:

Please cite this data set as follows (citation revised September 23, 2002):

Hall, F. G., K. F. Huemmrich, D. E. Strebel, S. J. Goetz, J. E. Nickeson, and K. D. Woods. 1996. Aspen Forest Cover by Stratum/Plot (SNF). [Aspen Forest Cover by Stratum/Plot (Superior National Forest)]. Data set. Available on-line [<http://daac.ornl.gov>] from Oak Ridge National Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, U.S.A., [doi:10.3334/ORNLDAAC/140](https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/140).

Based on: F. G. Hall, K. F. Huemmrich, D. E. Strebel, S. J. Goetz, J. E. Nickeson, and K. D. Woods, Biophysical, Morphological, Canopy Optical Property, and Productivity Data from the Superior National Forest, NASA Technical Memorandum 104568, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, U.S.A., 1992.

Document Curator:

webmaster@daac.ornl.gov

Document Author:

[DAAC Staff](#)

Document URL:

<http://daac.ornl.gov>