

DAAC Home > Data > Field Campaigns > NACP (North America) > Data Set Documentation

NACP TERRA-PNW: Forest Plant Traits, NPP, Biomass, and Soil Properties, 1999-2014

Get Data

Documentation Revision Date: 2015-12-28

Summary

This data set contains measurements and estimates of leaf, tree, and soil data from six projects conducted by the Terrestrial Ecosystem Research and Regional Analysis- Pacific Northwest (TERRA-PNW) research group between 1999 and 2014 across forests in Oregon and Northern California. Included are standardized, integrated measurements and estimates of specific leaf area, leaf longevity, leaf carbon and nitrogen for 35 tree and shrub species derived from more than 1,200 branch samples collected from over 200 forest plots, including several AmeriFlux sites. Plot-level measurements of forest composition, structure (e.g. tree biomass), and productivity estimates, as well as measurements of soil structure (e.g. bulk density) and chemistry (e.g. carbon) are also included.

This data set includes three files in comma-separated (.csv) format and three shapefiles (.shp) provided as zip files (*.zip).



FIgure 1. Locations of the sampling areas in the Pacific Northwest forests.

Citation

Law, B.E., and L.T. Berner. 2015. NACP TERRA-PNW: Forest Plant Traits, NPP, Biomass, and Soil Properties, 1999-2014. ORNL DAAC, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA. http://dx.doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1292

Table of Contents

- 1. Data Set Overview
- 2. Data Characteristics
- 3. Application and Derivation
- 4. Quality Assessment
- 5. Data Acquisition, Materials, and Methods
- 6. Data Access
- 7. References

1. Data Set Overview

This data set contains standardized, integrated measurements and estimates of specific leaf area, leaf longevity, leaf carbon and nitrogen for 35 tree and shrub species derived from more than 1,200 branch samples collected from over 200 forest plots, including several AmeriFlux sites. Plot-level measurements of forest composition, structure (e.g. tree biomass), and productivity estimates, as well as measurements of soil structure (e.g. bulk density) and chemistry (e.g. carbon) are also included.

The data are from six projects conducted by the Terrestrial Ecosystem Research and Regional Analysis- Pacific Northwest (TERRA-PNW) research group between 1999 and 2014 across Oregon and Northern California.

Project: North American Carbon Program (NACP)

The NACP (Denning et al., 2005; Wofsy and Harriss, 2002) is a multidisciplinary research program to obtain scientific understanding of North America's carbon sources and sinks and of changes in carbon stocks needed to meet societal concerns and to provide tools for decision makers. Successful execution of the

NACP has required an unprecedented level of coordination among observational, experimental, and modeling efforts regarding terrestrial, oceanic, atmospheric, and human components. The project has relied upon a rich and diverse array of existing observational networks, monitoring sites, and experimental field studies in North America and its adjacent oceans. It is supported by a number of different federal agencies through a variety of intramural and extramural funding mechanisms and award instruments.

2. Data Characteristics

Spatial Coverage

The sampling areas included forests in Oregon and northern California: the Coast Range, West Cascades, East Cascades, Blue Mountains, Klamath Mountains, northern Great Basin, and Sierra Nevada.

Spatial Resolution

The plot design consisted of a 1-ha plot containing four subplots (center, north, southwest, southeast) that were spaced at 35 m between subplot centers, with subplot diameter ranging from 10 to 17 m, depending on tree density.

Temporal Coverage

Measurements for leaf traits are provided for 20000517 - 20140723.

Soil data are provided for 20010603 - 20070820.

Tree biomass and productivity data are provided for 19990527 - 20140723.

Temporal Resolution

Daily in varying months.

Study Area (All latitudes and longitudes are given in decimal degrees)

Study Area	Westernmost Longitude	Easternmost Longitude	Northernmost Latitude	Southernmost Latitude
Forests in Oregon and Northern California	-123.904	-117.131	45.9477	37.7844

Data File Information

Data are included for:

• Leaf traits-specific leaf area, leaf longevity, leaf carbon and nitrogen for 35 tree and shrub species derived from more than 1,200 branch samples collected from over 200 forest plots, including several AmeriFlux sites

• Plot-level measurements of forest composition, structure (e.g. tree biomass), and productivity estimates

· Soil chemistry, bulk density, soil carbon, and soil nitrogen

There are three files in comma-separated (.csv) format and three shapefiles (.shp) provided as zip files (*.zip):

File 1. NACP_TERRA_PNW_leaf_trait.csv: This file provides leaf trait variables for the years 2000-2002, 2005,2008, and 2014.

File 2. NACP_TERRA_PNW_biomass_productivity.csv: This file provides tree wood and foliage aboveground biomass for the years 1999, 2001-2002, 2004-2005, 2007-2008, and 2014.

File 3. NACP_TERRA_PNW_soil.csv: This file provides soil chemistry and bulk density data for the years 2001, 2004-2005, and 2007.

File 4. NACP_TERRA_PNW_leaf_trait.zip: A shapefile (.shp) of the data in file 1, provided in a .zip file.

File 5. NACP_TERRA_PNW_biomass_productivity.zip: A shapefile (.shp) of the data in file 2, provided in a .zip file.

File 6. NACP_TERRA_PNW_soil.zip: A shapefile (.shp) of the data in file 3, provided in a .zip file.

File 1. NACP_TERRA_PNW_leaf_trait.csv

Missing data are represented as -9999.

Column	Column Heading	Units/format	Description
1	PROJECT		Project name
2	YEAR	YYYY	Sampling year
3	MONTH	MM	Sampling month
2	DAY	DD	Sampling day
4	PLOT_ID		Plot identification number
5	ECOREGION		EPA Level III Ecoregion

6	CLUSTER		General sampling area
7	LATITUDE	decimal degrees	Plot latitude (WGS84)
8	LONGITUDE	decimal degrees	Plot longitude (WGS84)
9	LAI_O	m2/m2	Overstory leaf area index
10	HEIGHTC_m	m	Plot-average canopy height
11	PFT		Plant function type
12	DIVISION		Angiosperm or gymnosperm
13	GENUS		Genus name
14	SPECIES		Species name
15	COMMON_NAME		Species common name
16	LEAF_PSA	cm2	Leaf projected surface area (PSA)
17	PSA_to_HSA		Coefficient converting PSA to HSA (see Table 5 in this document)
18	LEAF_HSA	cm2	Leaf hemisurface area (HSA)
19	LEAF_DRY_WT	g	Leaf sample dry weight
20	LEAF_CARBON_WT	gC	Leaf sample carbon weight
21	SLA_PSA	cm2 PSA/gC	Specific leaf area based on PSA
22	SLA_HSA	cm2 HSA/gC	Specific leaf area based on HSA
23	LEAF_CARBON	percent	Leaf carbon mass fraction
24	LEAF_NITROGEN	percent	Leaf nitrogen mass fraction
25	LEAF_CN		Leaf carbon to nitrogen mass ratio
26	LEAF_LIFE	year	Leaf lifespan
27	NOTES		General notes

File 2: NACP_TERRA_PNW_biomass_productivity.csv

Missing data are represented as -9999.

Column	Column Heading	Units/format	Description
1	PROJECT		Project name
2	YEAR	YYYY	Sampling year
3	MONTH	MM	Sampling month
4	DAY	DD	Sampling day
5	PLOT_ID		Plot identification number
6	PLOT_ID_ALT		Alternative PLOT_ID is previously sampled
7	PLOT_ID_AMERIFLUX		AmeriFlux site name abbreviation
8	ECOREGION		EPA Level III Ecoregion
9	CLUSTER		General sampling area
10	LATITUDE	decimal degrees	Plot latitude (WGS84)
11	LONGITUDE	decimal degrees	Plot longitude (WGS84)
12	ELEVATION	m	Elevation above sea level
13	MAT_C	degrees C	Mean annual temperature (1984-2013)
14	MAP	mm/yr	Mean annual precipitation (1984-2013)
15	SITE_DESC		General site description
16	ASA	year	Average stand age
17	SPP_01_ABBREV		Primary overstory species abbreviation
18	SPP_01_BASAL_AREA_FRACTION		Primary overstory species basal area fraction

19	SPP_02_ABBREV		Secondary overstory species abbreviation
20	SPP_O2_BASAL_AREA_FRACTION	percent	Secondary overstory species basal area fraction
21	SPP_O3_ABBREV		Tertiary overstory species abbreviation
22	SPP_O3_BASAL_AREA_FRACTION	percent	Tertiary overstory species basal area fraction
23	SPP_O4_ABBREV		Quaternary overstory species abbreviation
24	SPP_O4_BASAL_AREA_FRACTION	percent	Quaternary overstory species basal area fraction
25	LAI_O	m2/m2	Stand overstory leaf area index
26	HEIGHTC	m	Average canopy height
27	AG_BIOMASS_TREE_WOOD_AS_CARBON	gC/m2	Tree wood aboveground biomass
28	AG_BIOMASS_TREE_FOLIAGE_AS_CARBON	gC/m2	Tree foliage aboveground biomass
29	AG_BIOMASS_TREE_TOTAL_AS_CARBON	gC/m2	Tree total aboveground biomass
30	AG_PROD_TREE_WOOD_AS_CARBON	gC/m2/yr	Tree wood aboveground productivity
31	AG_PROD_TREE_FOLIAGE_AS_CARBON	gC/m2/yr	Tree foliage aboveground productivity
32	AG_PROD_TREE_TOTAL_AS_CARBON	gC/m2/yr	Tree total aboveground productivity

File 3 : NACP_TERRA_PNW_soil.csv

Missing data are represented as -9999.

Column	Column Heading	Units/format	Description
1	PROJECT		Project name
2	YEAR	YYYY	Sampling year
3	MONTH	MM	Sampling month
4	DAY	DD	Sampling day
5	PLOT_ID		Plot identification number
6	PLOT_ID_ALT		Alternative PLOT_ID is previously sampled
7	PLOT_ID_AMERIFLUX		AmeriFlux site name abbreviation
8	ECOREGION		EPA Level III Ecoregion
9	CLUSTER		General sampling area
10	LATITUDE	decimal degrees	Plot latitude (WGS84)
11	LONGITUDE	decimal degrees	Plot longitude (WGS84)
12	ELEVATION	m	Elevation above sea level
13	MAT_C	degrees C	Mean annual temperature (1984-2013)
14	MAP	mm/yr	Mean annual precipitation (1984-2013)
15	SOIL_DEPTH	cm	Total soil depth
16	SOIL_LAYER		Soil layer sampled
17	UPPER_DEPTH_OF_SOIL_LAYER	cm	Upper depth of the soil layer
18	LOWER_DEPTH_OF_SOIL_LAYER	cm	Lower depth of the soil layer
19	BULK_DENSITY	kg/m2	Soil bulk density for a specified soil profile layer
20	CARBON_CONTENT_OF_SOIL_LAYER	gC/m2	Carbon mass for a specified mineral soil profile layer, given in grams of C per square meter of ground
21	NITROGEN_CONTENT_OF_SOIL_LAYER	gN/m2	Nitrogen mass for a specified mineral soil profile layer, given in grams of N per square meter of ground
22	pH_OF_SOIL_LAYER		pH of the soil layer

		Volume fraction of sand in the soil layer
23	VOLUME_FRACTION_OF_SAND_IN_SOIL_LAYER percent	Volume fraction of sand (0.05-2 mm diameter) for a specified profile layer, with values given as a percentage of total air-dry mass excluding fragments > 2-mm diameter.
24	VOLUME_FRACTION_OF_SILT_IN_SOIL_LAYER percent	Volume fraction of silt (0.002-0.05 mm diameter) for a specified profile layer, with values given as a percentage of total air-dry mass excluding fragments > 2-mm diameter.
25	VOLUME_FRACTION_OF_CLAY_IN_SOIL_LAYER percent	Volume fraction of clay (< 0.002 mm diameter) for a specified profile layer, with values given as a percentage of total air-dry mass excluding fragments > 2-mm diameter.

Shapefiles

There are three shapefiles (*.zip files) which provide the data from files 1-3 above. All shapefiles contain six files (*.shx, *.dbf, *.prj, *.sbn, *.sbx, and *.shp).

File 4. NACP_TERRA_PNW_leaf_trait.zip: A shapefile (.shp) of leaf trait data in file 1.

File 5. NACP_TERRA_PNW_biomass_productivity.zip: A shapefile (.shp) of biomass data in file 2.

File 6. NACP_TERRA_PNW_soil.zip: A shapefile (.shp) of soil data in file 3.

Parameters of the shapefiles:

Geometry Type: Point

Geographic Coordinate System: GCS_WGS_1984

Datum: D_WGS_1984

Prime Meridian: Greenwich

Angular Unit: Degree

Extent:

North: 45.9477

South: 37.7844

West: -123.9038

East: -117.1309

Attributes:

FID: Internal feature number; sequential unique whole numbers that are automatically generated

Shape: Feature geometry

ALL OTHER ATTRIBUTES ARE THE SAME AS THE *.CSV FILES

Companion Files

There are three companion files provided with this data set (.kmz format) included for quick visualization in Google Earth. The files provide the same data as the files listed above:

NACP_TERRA_PNW_leaf_trait.kmz

NACP_TERRA_PNW_forest_biomass_productivity.kmz

NACP_TERRA_PNW_soil.kmz

3. Application and Derivation

This data set provides standardized plant trait measurements and associated plot-level characteristics important for ecosystem modeling.

These data have been used to (1) parameterize and test ecological models (2) evaluate satellite algorithms and eddy covariance measurements (3) assess regional carbon budgets and consequences of forest management (e.g. harvest regimes, bioenergy production); and (4) explore relationships between soil carbon and detritus.

4. Quality Assessment

Consistent field and laboratory protocols (Law et al., 2008) were employed among projects. Exceptions did occur, such as *Pinus* leaf area estimated using callipers vs. a LI-3100C when deriving specific leaf area (SLA). The sampling intensity specified by the protocols was designed to achieve a coefficient of variation <20%. Repeat measurements of leaf area using the LI-3100C tended to vary by less than 3%. Additionally, all elemental analysis of leaf and soil carbon and nitrogen were performed by Central Analytical Laboratory, which incorporated periodic measurements of calibration samples and blanks to ensure accuracy.

After compiling the data, several quality control measures were implemented on the leaf trait (SLA, C, N, and lifespan) measurements. We plotted each variable and combinations of variables to identify and correct errors in data entry, as well as to identify and remove potential erroneous measurement. After correcting obvious data entry errors, we then identified leaf trait measurements that exceeded the species-average by more than three standard deviations. Making two passes through the dataset, we chose to screening branch samples if any trait measurement exceeded this threshold; a criteria for inclusion that struck a balance between the need to remove erroneous measurements, while also maintain the full range of phenotypic plasticity.

Estimates of leaf lifespan, leaf nitrogen, and SLA for evergreen needleleaf trees differed, respectively, by +60%, -15%, and -6% in comparison to global estimates for this plant flucntional type (PFT) from the TRY plant-trait data base (Kattge et al., 2011). Similarly, estimates for deciduous broadleaf trees differed from TRY estimates by +95%, 0%, and +12% for the same traits. Some of this variation can be attributed to differences in species mixture between our regional dataset and the globally-oriented TRY data set.

Differences in species-specific leaf trait estimates between our study and other studies could be due to (1) trait variation along resource gradients (Dwyer et al., 2014, Guerin et al., 2012); (2) differences in plant exposure to short-term stress (e.g. leaf shedding due to drought-stress); (3) differences in the seasonality of sample collection (Matson et al., 1994, Nippert and Marshall, 2003); (4) differences in sampling location within the canopy (Nippert and Marshall, 2003, Marshall and Monserud, 2003); or (5) differences in sample processing methods (e.g. SLA estimated with or without the petiole) (Pérez-Harguindeguy et al., 2013).

5. Data Acquisition, Materials, and Methods

The descriptions below are largely from Berner and Law (In press). Please see this publication for additional information. This data set provides the data that are described in that article plus the derived Shapefiles as described in Section 2.

Site and project descriptions

Sampling sites (n=239) from six projects were spread among six forested ecoregions located in Oregon and northern California:

1) The RADAR project (1999) focused on the East Cascades and sought to characterize forest canopy structure and biomass. Measurements were made on 20 plots, largely dominated by ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*), but several were dominated by grand fir (*Abies grandis*).

2) The EPA project (2001) was a regional study over Oregon and northern California. Measurements were made on 96 plots, with 36 plots spread among three intensive clusters (Coast Range, West Cascades, East Cascades), each of which included 4 age classes x 3 replications. The remaining 60 plots were distributed regionally to capture Landsat spectral variability.

3) The COHO project (2002) focused on measuring and modeling carbon stocks and fluxes at five sites in the East Cascades. Measurements were made at young, mature and old ponderosa pine sites, as well as at mature grand fir and western juniper (*Juniperus occidentalis*) sites.

4) The Oregon and California (ORCA) project (2004-2005) sought to broaden the regional sampling network and tree species representation though extensive sampling in the East Cascades, Blue Mountains, Klamath Mountains, northern Great Basin, and Sierra Nevada, with measurements made on 80 plots (Law et al., 2006, Hudiburg et al., 2009). In 2005, measurements were made on 14 of these plots in the Sierra Nevada.

5) The Metolius Fire (METOFIRE) project (2007-2008) focused on quantifying pre- and post-fire carbon pools and productivity on four mixed-severity wildfires (2002-2003) in mixed fir and ponderosa pine forests in East Cascades (Meigs et al., 2009). Measurements were made on 64 plots, with burned and unburned stands measured in 2007 and 2008, respectively.

6) The Cascade Drought Impact Study (CADIS; 2014) evaluated the role of water availability in shaping tree morphological traits and forest carbon cycling along a steep climatic gradient in the East Cascades (Berner and Law, 2015). Measurements were made on 15 sites spread evenly among western juniper, ponderosa pine, and grand fir.

Table 4. Summary of Terra-PNW projects. The primary sampling year and key references are provided for each project, as are number of sites, genera, species, and branch samples.

Project	Year	Number of	Key references			
Sites	Genera	Species	Branches			
RADAR	1999	20				Law et al. (2001)
EPA	2001	90	19	24	562	Law et al. (2004)
СОНО	2002	3	5	5	86	Schwarz et al. (2004)
ORCA	2004	73	13	18	397	Law et at. (2006)
METOFIRE	2007	64	2	2	88	Meigs et al. (2009)
CADIS	2014	15	4	6	163	Berner & Law (2015)

Field sampling

The plot design consisted of a 1-ha plot containing four subplots (center, north, southwest, southeast) that were spaced at 35 m between subplot centers, with subplot diameter ranging from 10 to 17 m, depending on tree density. Tree height and diameter at breast height (DBH) were measured on each subplot for all stems that were 10-80 cm DBH. All large trees >80 cm DBH were measured on the entire 1-ha plot, while sapling surveys (stems 1-10 cm DBH) were conducted inside the subplots with radii of 2-5 m depending on tree density. Around twenty tree cores were collected per plot, with half used to estimate annual growth and age, and the remaining used to determine wood density. Overstory leaf area index (LAI, one-sided) was measured at 35-45 locations on each subplot using an LAI-2000 or LAI-2200 (LiCor, Lincoln, NE).

Mid-canopy, south-facing branches with high, but vertically-variable light exposure were harvested for each species present on a plot, with sample size per species (generally 5 to 10) determined by the species prevalence within a plot. Additionally, soil cores were collected from multiple layers (e.g. 0-20, 20-50, 50-100 cm).

Foliage analysis

Leaf carbon and nitrogen content

Leaf carbon and nitrogen content were measured on one-year old foliage, except in the case of deciduous species, where measurements were based on currentyear, fully-expanded and hardened foliage. Leaf samples were oven-dried at 70 degrees C for at least 48 hours and then finely ground using a coffee-grinder, mortar and pestle, or both. Carbon and nitrogen concentrations were then measured with a LECO CNS2000 analyzer by Central Analytical Laboratory at Oregon State University.

Specific leaf area

SLA was measured on one-year old foliage, except in the case of deciduous species, where measurements were based on current-year, fully-expanded and hardened foliage. Fresh leaf PSA was measured using a LI-3100C Area Meter (LiCor, Lincoln, NE). For broad-leaf angiosperms, leaf PSA was assumed to be equivalent to hemisurface area (HSA; while for conifers, leaf PSA was converted to HSA using published conversion coefficients (Barclay et al., 2000, Smith et al., 1991, Hicks and Dugas 1998, Gower and Norman 1991, Law et al., 2001).

Species with unknown conversion coefficients were assigned values from similar species. For *Pinus* species, leaf HSA was estimated from measurements of needle length and maximum fascicle diameter, except for samples collected as part of the CADIS project, which were scanned using the LI-3100C. After measuring leaf surface area, the samples were oven-dried at 70 degrees C for at least 48 hours and then weighed. Leaf dry mass was converted to carbon based on elemental analysis of sample carbon content (described above). The SLA of each sample was then calculated by dividing leaf PSA or HSA by carbon mass.

Table 5. Summary of literature-derived coefficients used to convert projected surface area (PSA) of selected species to hemisurface area (HSA) when determining specific leaf area.

Species	Coefficient used to convert PSA to HSA	Reference	Notes
Abies Iasiocarpa	1.09	Smith et al., 1991	Interpreted from Fig. 1 (Smith et al., 1991) and bias-corrected by 0.16 based on systematic offset in comparison of PINPON, PINCON, and PSEMEN from Barclay and Goodman, 2000; Law et al., 2001
Abies magnifica	1.09	Barclay and Goodman, 2000	Coefficient for Abies grandis
Abies procera	1.09	Barclay and Goodman, 2000	Coefficient for Abies grandis
Calocedrus decurrens	1.15	Barclay and Goodman, 2000	Coefficient for Tsuga heterophylla
Juniperus occidentalis	1.57	Hicks and Dugas, 1998	
Larix occidentalis	1.29	Gower and Norman, 1991	Coefficient for Lairx decidua
Picea engelmannii	1.19	Smith et al., 1991	See note for Abies lasiocarpa
Picea sitchensis	1.16	Barclay and Goodman, 2000	
Pinus contorta	1.29	Barclay and Goodman, 2000	
Pinus flexilis	1.19	Smith et al., 1991	See note for Abies lasiocarpa

Pinus jeffreyi	1.18	Law et al., 2001	Coefficient for Pinus ponderosa
Pinus Iambertiana	1.18	Law et al., 2001	Coefficient for Pinus ponderosa
Pinus monticola	1.18	Law et al., 2001	Coefficient for Pinus ponderosa
Pinus ponderosa	1.18	Law et al., 2001	Coefficient for Pinus ponderosa
Pseudotsuga menziesii	1.19	Barclay and Goodman, 2000	
Thuja plicata	1.14	Barclay and Goodman, 2000	
Tsuga heterophylla	1.15	Barclay and Goodman, 2000	
Tsuga mertensiana	1.15	Barclay and Goodman, 2000	Coefficient for Tsuga heterophylla

Leaf lifespan

Leaf lifespan, also known as leaf longevity or leaf retention time, describes the number of years that a leaf is alive. Leaf lifespan was estimated using the "counting cohorts" method, which involves counting the number of annual leaf cohorts present on an individual branch back to the point where less than 50% of the leaves produced during a given year still remain attached to the branch (Pérez-Harguindeguy et al., 2013).

Stand characterization

Geographic location

The latitude, longitude, and elevation are provided for each plot. Geographic coordinates are given in decimal degrees based on the WGS84 geographic datum and elevation is in meters above sea level. Geographic coordinates were determined using a hand-held global positioning system (GPS). Elevations were extracted from the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission version 2 digital elevation model based on the geographic coordinates.

Stand age

Stand age is defined in this case as the average age, given in years, of the oldest 10% of trees located in a stand or, if fewer than three trees fell into the oldest 10%, then the average age of all trees that were cored. Stand age was estimated based on tree cores collected from eight to 20 trees on each plot, with sample size dependent on project. Trees were cored to the pith at breast height using an increment borer. The tree cores were mounted on a wooden block and sanded to reveal the annual rings. The age of each tree was then determined by either examining the tree core under a microscope, or by scanning the tree core with a flatbed scanner and then ingesting the image into the WinDENDRO software (Regent Instruments Inc., Québec). For large trees where increment core samples did not reach the pith, the missing rings were determined from total number of rings within 5 cm distance of the inner end of core sample and estimates of the missing length by subtracting increment core length from the radius of the tree at breast height.

Species overstory composition

Species overstory composition described the relative dominance of overstory species on a plot and is provided for up to four species. Each species is denoted using a six-letter genus-species abbreviation. Species dominance is described as the percentage of stand basal area represented by the species. Basal area was computed for each tree based on measurement of DBH and then summed within species.

Average canopy height

Average canopy height, given in meters, of all trees located on a plot. Tree height was measured using a laser.

Leaf area index

Summer maximum leaf area index of the overstory canopy corrected for canopy and foliage clumping, as well as wood interception (Law et al., 2001; Chen et al., 1996). Optical measurements of the effective overstory canopy LAI were made at breast height (1.37 m) with a LAI-2000 or LAI-2200 Plant Canopy Analyzer (LI-COR Inc., Lincoln, NE) under diffusive light at 35-45 locations on the each sample plot. Measurements were corrected for clumping and wood interception based on the method described in Law et al., 2001. The measurements were subject to post-collection processing to remove data points measured below the threshold of irradiance (< 0.2 umol m⁻² s⁻¹) of the above canopy sensor. Species-specific values of the needle-to-shoot ratio for foliage clumping within shoot (*gamma*) were from published data of Law et al., 2001, Gower et al.,1999, and Frazer et al., 2000. Species with unknown *gamma* were assigned values from morphologically similar species. In mixed-species stands, the *gamma*-clumping corrections were weighted by the frequency distribution of stem counts of each species, or by the relative basal area of each species, depending on project. Elemental clumping index (*omega*), which quantifies the effect of foliage clumping at scales larger than the shoot, was determined from continuous measurements with a TRAC (3rd Wave Engineering, Ontario, Canada) optical device along three 100-m transects (Law et al., 2001) or by LAI-2200 measurements at each sampling point. Wood interception (*W*), defined here as half-surface area of stem and branches above breast-height (1.37 m), was computed as a function of stand basal area at breast height based on the strong relationship (r²=0.90) observed

between W and basal area across 96 sites measured as part of the EPA project (Law, unpublished data). Overstory LAI was then computed by subtracting W from clumping-corrected LAI.

Projected surface area (PSA) was converted to hemisurface area (HSA) when determining specific leaf area.

Tree aboveground biomass

Aboveground biomass of live tree wood (i.e., stem, branches, and bark) and tree foliage per square meter of ground (g C m⁻² ground), as well as total (i.e., wood + foliage) aboveground biomass pool, were estimated for each plot. For each tree on a plot, wood component biomass was estimated based on measurements of tree DBH and height. Stem volume was estimated using species- and ecoregion-specific volume allometric equations; where species- or ecoregion-specific equations were not available, substitutions were made based on growth form and plant type (Law et al., 2001, Means et al., 1996, Means et al., 1994, Gholz et al., 1979). Stem volume was then converted to mass based on species-specific wood density, with wood density either measured using tree cores from the plots or taken from regional (USDA Forest Service, 1965, 1972) or national (Forest Products Laboratory, 1974) technical reports prepared by the USDA Forest Service. Branch and bark mass were estimated using species-specific biomass equations, again substituting equations for similar species were necessary. Stem, branch and bark dry mass were assumed to be 51% carbon. Aboveground tree wood biomass was then derived by summing component carbon pools across trees on a subplot, dividing by subplot area, and then averaging across subplots. Tree foliage biomass was estimated for each subplot from overstory LAI and species biomass-weighted average leaf mass per unit area (LMA = 1/SLA), converted to carbon mass based on plot-average leaf carbon concentrations, and then averaged across subplots. Total aboveground biomass on each subplot was computed as the sum of wood and foliage biomass.

Tree annual aboveground net primary productivity

Annual aboveground net primary productivity of tree wood and tree foliage per square meter of ground per year (g C m⁻² ground year⁻¹), as well as total aboveground productivity, were estimated for each plot. For each tree on a plot wood component net productivity was estimated based on the difference in biomass at two points in time divided by the number of intervening years, generally 5- or 10-years depending on project. Current wood biomass was estimated as described above, while prior wood biomass was estimated by hindcasting tree DBH and height using tree core increment measurements and DBH-height relationships. Wood component productivity was summed across trees on a subplot, divided by subplot area, and then averaged across subplots. Tree foliage productivity was calculated for each subplot by dividing foliage carbon mass, as described above, by the species biomass-weighted average leaf longevity and then averaged across subplots.

Soil characterization

Soil depth

Effective soil depth (cm) measured with a steel tile probe to a maximum depth of 100 cm.

Soil profile minimum and maximum depth

Each soil characteristic described below was derived for a given portion of the mineral soil profile, defined by a minimum and maximum depth below the mineral soil surface, given in centimeters. Target depth in each soil profile included 0-20 cm, 20-50 cm, and 50-100 cm; however, the actual profile depths are noted for each layer.

Soil bulk density

Bulk density was determined using material < 2 mm diameter based on air-dry weight and soil core volumes that excluded coarser fragments.

Soil carbon and nitrogen mass

The soil samples were air-dried using a ventilated drying system and then live vegetation and roots were removed. Samples were pulverized, then carbon and nitrogen content were measured with a LECO CNS2000 analyzer by Central Analytical Laboratory at Oregon State University. Carbon and nitrogen content in each mineral soil profile layer were then computed from elemental concentrations, soil bulk density, and sampling depth. See Sun et al. (2004) for more details.

Soil pH

Soil pH for a specified profile layer was determined using a ratio of soil mass to water of 1:2. The analysis was performed by Central Analytical Laboratory at Oregon State University.

Soil sand, silt, and clay content

The fraction of each particle size class was determined using air-dried soil samples following the specification hydrometer method described in Gee and Bauder (1986). This analysis was performed by Central Analytical Laboratory at Oregon State University.

6. Data Access

This data is available through the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) Distributed Active Archive Center (DAAC).

NACP TERRA-PNW: Forest Plant Traits, NPP, Biomass, and Soil Properties, 1999-2014

Contact for Data Center Access Information:

- E-mail: uso@daac.ornl.gov
- Telephone: +1 (865) 241-3952

7. References

Barclay, H. J. and Goodman, D. Conversion of total to projected leaf area index in conifers. Canadian Journal of Botany 78, 447-454 (2000).

Berner, L. T. and Law, B. E. Plant traits, productivity, biomass and soil properties from forest sites in the Pacific Northwest, 1999-2014. Scientific Data. In press.

Berner, L. T. and Law, B. E. Water limitations on forest carbon cycling and conifer traits along a steep climatic gradient in the Cascade Mountains, Oregon. *Biogeosciences* **12**, 6617-6635, doi:10.5194/bg-12-6617-2015 (2015).

Chen, J. M. Optically-based methods for measuring seasonal variation of leaf area index in boreal conifer stands. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **80**, 135-163 (1996).

Denning, A.S., et al. 2005. Science implementation strategy for the North American Carbon Program: A Report of the NACP Implementation Strategy Group of the U.S. Carbon Cycle Interagency Working Group. U.S. Carbon Cycle Science Program, Washington, DC. 68 pp.

Dwyer, J. M., Hobbs, R. J. & Mayfield, M. M. Specific leaf area responses to environmental gradients through space and time. *Ecology* **95**, 399-410, doi:10.1890/13-0412.1 (2014).

Forest Products Laboratory. Would Handbook: Wood as an engineering material. 72 (Forest Products Laboratory, 1974).

Frazer, G. W., Trofymow, J. & Lertzman, K. P. Canopy openness and leaf area in chronosequences of coastal temperate rainforests. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* **30**, 239-256 (2000).

Gee, G. W. and Bauder, J. M. in *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 1- Physical and Mineralogical Methods* Vol. Agronomy Monograpy 9 (ed A. Klute) 383-411 (Soil Science Society of America, 1986).

Gholz, H. L., Grier, C., Campbell, A. & Brown, A. Equations for estimating biomass and leaf area of plants in the Pacific Northwest. (Oregon State University, School of Forestry, Forest Research Lab, Corvallis, OR, 1979).

Gower, S. T., Kucharik, C. J. & Norman, J. M. Direct and indirect estimation of leaf area index, fapar, and net primary production of terrestrial ecosystems. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **70**, 29-51 (1999).

Gower, S. T. & Norman, J. M. Rapid estimation of leaf area index in conifer and broad-leaf plantations. Ecology 72, 1896-1900 (1991).

Gower, S. T., Grier, C. C. & Vogt, K. A. Aboveground production and N and P use by Larix occidentalis and Pinus contorta in the Washington Cascades, USA. *Tree physiology* **5**, 1-11 (1989).

Guerin, G. R., Wen, H. & Lowe, A. J. Leaf morphology shift linked to climate change. Biology letters 8, 882-886 (2012).

Hicks, R. & Dugas, W. Estimating ashe juniper leaf area from tree and stem characteristics. Journal of Range Management Archives 51, 633-637 (1998).

Hudiburg, T. et al. Carbon dynamics of Oregon and Northern California forests and potential land-based carbon storage. Ecological Applications **19**, 163-180 (2009).

Kattge, J. et al. TRY-a global database of plant traits. Global Change Biology 17, 2905-2935 (2011).

Law, B. E. Regional analysis of drought and heat impacts on forests: current and future science directions. *Global Change Biology* **20**, 3595-3599, doi:10.1111/gcb.12651 (2014).

Law, B. E. et al. Terrestrial carbon observations: Protocols for vegetation sampling and data submission. (Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations, 2008).

Law, B. E. et al. in Scaling and Uncertainty Analysis in Ecology, 167-190 (Springer, 2006).

Law, B. E., Cescatti, A. & Baldocchi, D. D. Leaf area distribution and radiative transfer in open-canopy forests: implications for mass and energy exchange. *Tree Physiology* **21**, 777-787 (2001).

Law, B. E., Thornton, P. E., Irvine, J., Anthoni, P. M. & Van Tuyl, S. Carbon storage and fluxes in ponderosa pine forests at different developmental stages. *Global Change Biology* 7, 755-777 (2001).

Law, B. E., Van Tuyl, S., Cescatti, A. & Baldocchi, D. D. Estimation of leaf area index in open-canopy ponderosa pine forests at different successional stages and management regimes in Oregon. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology **108**, 1-14 (2001).

Lei, T. & Lechowicz, M. Functional responses of Acer species to two simulated forest gap environments: leaf-level properties and photosynthesis. *Photosynthetica* **33**, 277-289 (1997).

Marshall, J. D. & Monserud, R. A. Foliage height influences specific leaf area of three conifer species. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 33, 164-170 (2003).

Matson, P., Johnson, L., Billow, C., Miller, J. & Pu, R. Seasonal patterns and remote spectral estimation of canopy chemistry across the Oregon transect. *Ecological Applications*, 280-298 (1994).

Means, J. E., Krankina, O. N., Jiang, H. & Li, H. Estimating live fuels for shrubs and herbs with BIOPAK. 21 (U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, OR, 1996).

Means, J. E., Hansen, H. A., Koerper, G. J., Alaback, P. B. & Klopsch, M. W. Software for computing plant biomass--BIOPAK users guide. 184 (U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, OR, 1994).

Meigs, G. W., Donato, D. C., Campbell, J. L., Martin, J. G. & Law, B. E. Forest fire impacts on carbon uptake, storage, and emission: the role of burn severity in the Eastern Cascades, Oregon. *Ecosystems* **12**, 1246-1267 (2009).

Nippert, J. B. & Marshall, J. D. Sources of variation in ecophysiological parameters in Douglas-fir and grand fir canopies. Tree Physiology 23, 591-601 (2003).

Pérez-Harguindeguy, N. et al. New handbook for standardised measurement of plant functional traits worldwide. Australian Journal of Botany 61, 167-234 (2013).

OAK RIDGE

Smith, W., Schoettle, A. & Cui, M. Importance of the method of leaf area measurement to the interpretation of gas exchange of complex shoots. *Tree physiology* **8**, 121-127 (1991).

Sun, O. J., Campbell, J., Law, B. E. & Wolf, V. Dynamics of carbon stocks in soils and detritus across chronosequences of different forest types in the Pacific Northwest, USA. *Global Change Biology* **10**, 1470-1481 (2004).

USDA Forest Service. Western Wood Density Survey: Report No. 2. Vol. FPL-183 (USDA Forest Service, 1972).

USDA Forest Service. Western Wood Density Survey: Report No. 1. Vol. FPL-27 (USDA Forest Service, 1965).

Wofsy, S.C., and R.C. Harriss. 2002. The North American Carbon Program (NACP). Report of the NACP Committee of the U.S. Interagency Carbon Cycle Science Program. U.S. Global Change Research Program, Washington, DC. 56 pp.

Privacy Policy | Feedback | FAQs | Site Map

Follow @ORNLDAAC

About Us Products Contact Us Data **Tools** Help Home Product Overview Who We Are FAQs Complete Data Set List Data Search User Working Group Field Campaigns Tutorials Search for Data Site Search Biogeochemical Dynamics Validation Field Campaigns Search by DOI Data Management Data Citation Policy Regional/Global Validation WebGIS Archival Interest Model Archive Regional/Global SDAT News Newsletters Model Archive MODIS Land Subsets Workshops THREDDS