

DAAC Home > Get Data > NASA Projects > Arctic-Boreal Vulnerability Experiment (ABoVE) > User guide

# CO2 and CH4 Fluxes from Waterbodies, Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, Alaska, 2016-2019

# Get Data

Documentation Revision Date: 2024-03-19

#### Dataset Version: 1

## Summary

This dataset provides estimates of carbon dioxide (CO2) and methane (CH4) diffusive fluxes from waterbodies, and watershed landcover data for the central-interior of the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta (YK delta), Alaska. Dissolved concentrations of methane and carbon dioxide were predicted using an integrated terrestrial-aquatic approach to scale observations based on landscape and waterbody remote sensing drivers. The observations include ~300 samples of surface water dissolved gases collected in July 2016-2019 from the central region of the YK Delta, Alaska. A machine learning model was used to generate estimated fluxes. Model inputs include Sentinel-2 MSI with derived normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) and normalized difference water index (NDVI), an Arctic digital elevation model (DEM) with derived slope and flow accumulation, Sentinel-1 C-band July and December VV and VH composites, and a landcover map. Waterbody size, shape, and reflectance were determined using object-based image analysis in Google Earth Engine. Landscape-level input data were averaged in non-nested sub-basins calculated using the System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) "channel network" algorithm at three threshold sizes. Cross validation was used to tune and select variables for gradient boosting models. The trained gradient boosting models were then used to predict dissolved methane and carbon dioxide in all waterbodies (~17,000) in the region. These aquatic concentrations were converted to fluxes using an average gas transfer velocity from observations (0.33 m/d). The data are provided in GeoTIFF and shapefile formats.

There are three files in GeoTIFF format and two shapefiles with this dataset.



Figure 1. Study area in the Yukon Kuskokwim Delta of Alaska (left) with regional context (right). Sentinel-2 10 m resolution RGB imagery of the study region (left). Surface water sample locations from 2016 to 2019 are depicted as yellow points. Source: Ludwig et al. (2022).

# Citation

Ludwig, S., S. Natali, J. Schade, M. Powell, G. Fiske, L.D. Schiferl, and R. Commane. 2023. CO2 and CH4 Fluxes from Waterbodies, Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, Alaska, 2016-2019. ORNL DAAC, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA. https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/2178

# **Table of Contents**

- 1. Dataset Overview
- 2. Data Characteristics
- 3. Application and Derivation
- 4. Quality Assessment
- 5. Data Acquisition, Materials, and Methods
- 6. Data Access
- 7. References

# 1. Dataset Overview

This dataset provides estimates of carbon dioxide (CO2) and methane (CH4) diffusive fluxes from waterbodies, and watershed landcover data for the central-interior of the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta (YK delta), Alaska. Dissolved concentrations of methane and carbon dioxide were predicted using an integrated terrestrial-aquatic approach to scale observations based on landscape and waterbody remote sensing drivers. The observations include ~300 samples of surface water dissolved gases collected in July 2016-2019 from the central region of the YK Delta, Alaska. A machine learning model was used to generate estimated fluxes. Model inputs include Sentinel-2 MSI with derived normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) and normalized difference water index (NDVI) and normalized (DEM) with derived slope and flow accumulation, Sentinel-1 C-band July and December VV and VH composites, and a landcover map. Waterbody size, shape, and reflectance were determined using object-based image analysis in Google Earth Engine. Landscape-level input data were averaged in non-nested sub-basins calculated using the System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) "channel network" algorithm at three threshold sizes. Cross validation was used to tune and select variables for gradient boosting models. The trained gradient boosting models were then used to predict dissolved methane and carbon dioxide in all waterbodies (~17,000) in the region. These aquatic concentrations were converted to fluxes using an average gas transfer velocity from observations (0.33 m/d).

#### Project: Arctic-Boreal Vulnerability Experiment

The Arctic-Boreal Vulnerability Experiment (ABoVE) is a NASA Terrestrial Ecology Program field campaign being conducted in Alaska and western Canada, for 8 to 10 years, starting in 2015. Research for ABoVE links field-based, process-level studies with geospatial data products derived from airborne and satellite sensors, providing a foundation for improving the analysis, and modeling capabilities needed to understand and predict ecosystem responses to, and societal implications of, climate change in the Arctic and Boreal regions.

#### **Related Publications**

Ludwig, S.M., S. M. Natali, P.J. Mann, J.D. Schade, R.M.Holmes, M. Powell, G.Fiske, and R. Commane. 2022. Using machine learning to predict inland aquatic CO2 and CH4 concentrations and the effects of wildfires in the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, Alaska. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 36:e2021GB007146. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GB007146

Ludwig, S.M., S.M. Natali, J.D. Schade, M. Powell, G. Fiske, L.D. Schiferl, and R. Commane. 2023. Scaling waterbody carbon dioxide and methane fluxes in the arctic using an integrated terrestrial-aquatic approach. Environmental Research Letters 18:064019. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/acd467

#### Acknowledgements

This study was supported by NASA's Arctic-Boreal Vulnerability Experiment (ABoVE) program (FINESST 2019, grant 80NSSC19K1301).

## 2. Data Characteristics

Spatial Coverage: Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, Alaska

#### ABoVE Reference Locations

Domain: Core ABoVE

```
State/Territory: Alaska
```

Grid cells: Ah000v000, Bh001v003, Bh002v003, Ch011v021, Ch012v020, Ch012v021, Ch012v022, Ch013v020, Ch013v021, Ch013v022, Ch013v023, Ch014v019, Ch014v020, Ch014v021, Ch014v022, Ch014v023, Ch015v020, Ch015v021, Ch015v022, Ch015v023, Ch016v022

Spatial Resolution: 0.00009 degrees (~10 m)

Temporal Coverage: 2016-07-07 to 2019-07-07

#### Temporal Resolution: One time estimates

Study Area: Latitude and longitude are given in decimal degrees.

Site	Westernmost Longitude	Easternmost Longitude	Northernmost Latitude	Southernmost Latitude
southwestern Alaska	-163.820	-162.073	61.678	60.895

#### **Data File Information**

There are three files in GeoTIFF (.tif) format and two shapefiles in compressed Zip archives (\*.zip).

**GeoTIFF** files

- CH4\_flux\_YKD.tif: methane flux in mg m  $^{-3}$  d  $^{-1}$
- CO2\_flux\_YKD.tif: carbon dioxide flux in mg m<sup>-3</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>
- YKD\_landcover.tif: land cover map for the study region (see Table 1).

GeoTIFF characteristics:

- Coordinate system: geographic coordinates, datum WGS 84, EPSG:4326
- Spatial resolution: 0.00009 degrees (~10 m)

#### Shapefiles

- region\_outline\_shapefile.zip: Polygon outlining extent of the flux maps; projection EPSG: 4326
- watershed\_region\_shapefile.zip: Polygon outlining extent of land cover map and the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta watershed; projection EPSG: 3413 (WGS 84 / NSIDC Sea Ice Polar Stereographic North)

Table 1. Land cover classes in YKD\_landcover.tif.

Pixel value	Description
0	No data (a small area where an old fire scar reburned in 2015 that could not be mapped)

1	Lichen dominant tundra on peat plateaus, some graminoids and prostrate dwarf shrubs
2	Degrading permafrost on peat plateaus (either sparse but productive wetland graminoid, exposed mud, or shallow water, depending on antecedent rainfall)
3	Vegetated wetland (peat fens, often with small channels and adjacent sphagnum bog or mosses underlying graminoids), lower in elevation relative to peat plateaus
4	Shrub tundra, often the 'banks' or edges of peat plateaus
5	Tundra at the edges of degrading permafrost on peat plateaus, often wetter and more likely to be dominated by sphagnum species and sphagnum fuscum
6	Vegetated wetland (fens, but darker green, possibly tall shrubs. This class was lumped with class 3 for most analyses), lower in elevation relative to peat plateaus.
7	Waterbody edges; including unvegetated shoreline and areas with emergent vegetation and surface water
8	Sedge tundra on peat plateaus (often the near the edges of plateaus or more sloped areas of plateaus)
9	Low severity 2015 fire scar (peat plateaus). Includes small pockets of unburned within the fire scar.
10	2015 fire scar tundra at plateau edges (similar to category 8 in unburned)
11	2015 fire scar peat plateau
12	2015 fire scar vegetated wetland (peat fens)
13	2015 fire scar degrading permafrost (similar to category 2 unburned)
14	Old fire scar peat plateau edges (similar to category 8 unburned)
15	Old fire scar vegetated wetland (peat fens)
16	Old fire scar water edge (similar to category 7 unburned)
17	Old fire scar degrading permafrost (similar to category 2 unburned)
18	Old fire scar tundra peat plateau
19	Old fire scar tundra peat plateau, but more abundance of shrubs and graminoids
20	Waterbodies (includes those in unburned and all fire scars)

Companion file: Landcover\_class\_descriptions.md holds the land cover class descriptions in text format.

# 3. Application and Derivation

These data capture the potentially disparate effects of fire on the drivers of dissolved CO  $_2$  and CH $_4$  and their associated fluxes from bodies of water in Alaska.

# 4. Quality Assessment

When training gradient boosting models, a 10-fold cross validation was used with deviance as a loss function. The reduction in percent deviance over the null model for  $CO_2$  was 63% and for  $CH_4$  was 39%. RMSE was calculated after back-transformation (response variables were log transformed) and bias correction. The RMSE values were 175 uM for  $CO_2$  and 4.8 uM for  $CH_4$ .

Land cover accuracy was assessed using 350 randomly stratified points from the region of interest. The classifications at these points were compared to higher resolution (Worldview-2) imagery using Google Earth Engine.

# 5. Data Acquisition, Materials, and Methods

## Site Description

This study was conducted in the central-interior of the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta (YKD) of southwestern Alaska, a subarctic tundra region underlain by discontinuous permafrost (Figure 1). The study region is near the Kuka Creek fires of 2015 (N 61.26°, W 163.25°), about 90 km northwest of Bethel, AK, and about 110 km inland from the coast.

## CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> observations

A dataset of surface water samples (n = 364) was analyzed for dissolved CO  $_2$  (n = 235) and CH  $_4$  (n = 294). The samples were collected from various waterbodies in the central-interior of the YKD from the first half of July in 2016-2019.

## Geospatial waterbody and sub-basin analyses

Remote sensing imagery was used to: 1) identify waterbodies and quantify waterbody contour characteristics, 2) quantify watershed characteristics that might be related to landscape-level drivers, hydrology, or might indirectly affect waterbody  $CO_2$  or  $CH_4$  biogeochemistry, and 3) scale results to map diffusive fluxes of  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$ .

Google Earth Engine was used to select imagery in the YKD from 2016 through 2019 to coincide with the timing of the samples in the dissolved CCO  $_2$  and CH<sub>4</sub> observation dataset.

A 5-m x 10-m resolution land cover map was created for the region. Level-2A Sentinel-2, 10-m resolution, multispectral surface reflectance imagery was used to calculate the Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI) and Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), metrics of canopy or soil moisture, and vegetation productivity. Land cover was classified using a k-means algorithm with inputs of raw surface reflectance, NDVI, NDWI, elevation, and slope. The final land cover classes for unburned areas included terrestrial peat plateau, peat plateau edges, degraded peat plateau (i.e., from permafrost thaw), fens, and surface water. The final land cover classes for old fire scars and 2015 fire scars include peat plateau, degraded peat plateau, fens, and surface water (Table 1).

For details of methods, see Ludwig et al. (2022).

## 6. Data Access

These data are available through the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) Distributed Active Archive Center (DAAC).

CO2 and CH4 Fluxes from Waterbodies, Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, Alaska, 2016-2019

Contact for Data Center Access Information:

- E-mail: uso@daac.ornl.gov
- Telephone: +1 (865) 241-3952

# 7. References

Ludwig, S.M., S.M. Natali, P.J. Mann, J.D. Schade, R.M.Holmes, M. Powell, G.Fiske, and R. Commane. 2022. Using machine learning to predict inland aquatic CO2 and CH4 concentrations and the effects of wildfires in the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, Alaska. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 36:e2021GB007146. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GB007146

Ludwig, S.M., S.M. Natali, J.D. Schade, M. Powell, G. Fiske, L.D. Schiferl, and R. Commane. 2023. Scaling waterbody carbon dioxide and methane fluxes in the arctic using an integrated terrestrial-aquatic approach. Environmental Research Letters 18:064019. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/acd467

